UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,897	07/21/2006	Francois Lacombe	1606.75574	4776
24978 GREER, BURN	7590 01/20/201 ¹ IS & CRAIN	EXAMINER		
300 S WACKE		SAHLE, MAHIDERE S		
25TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL	60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/20/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/586,897	LACOMBE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		MAHIDERE S. SAHLE	2873			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[\text{\tint{\text{\tin}\text{\ti}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tin}}\\ \text{\tin}\}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}}\\ \text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tex{\tex	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 S	entember 2009				
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 September 2009</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	olooda iii doodraanee wiiii ine praesiee ander 2	Expante Quayre, 1000 C.B. 11, 10	0.0.210.			
Dispositio	on of Claims					
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗌 (5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🛛	6) Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)□ т	he specification is objected to by the Examine	er				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 July 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	· ·	priority under 25 LLC C \$ 110(a)) (d) or (f)			
•	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
-	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-24 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims **1-16** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fercher (USP No. 5,877,856) in view of Bates (USP No. 4,076,423).

Regarding claim 1, Fercher discloses a device for measuring the contrast of fringes in a Michelson interferometer (abstract, col. 3, lines 53-54) having at least one reference arm and one measurement arm co-operating with an output arm in order to produce an optical coherence tomography (OCT) system (see figure 1, abstract), said device comprising: means for deflecting (7) two incoming perpendicular polarizations in

Application/Control Number: 10/586,897

Art Unit: 2873

two different emerging directions and a beam detector (see figure 1), said means for deflecting (7) being arranged within the output arm of the Michelson interferometer as a substitution for a single polarizer (see figures 1 and 3). Fercher discloses the claimed invention except for a full-field Michelson interferometer and a means for separating a beam entering into the output arm and means for deflecting being arranged between said means for separating and said beam detector. The use of the Michelson interferometer in a full-field manner is a mere change in the usage of the interferometer. Thus, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d - 164 7 (1987). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Fercher with a fullfield Michelson interferometer for the purpose of observing the desired area. In the same field of endeavor, Bates discloses a means for separating a beam (4) entering into the output arm and means for deflecting (6) being arranged between said means for separating (4) and said beam detector (8) (see figure 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Fercher with a means for separating a beam entering into the output arm and means for deflecting being arranged between said means for separating and said beam detector of Bates for the purpose of measuring the coherence of optical radiation (col. 1, lines 18-20).

Page 3

Regarding claim 2, Fercher and Bates disclose and teach the device as is disclosed above, Fercher further discloses wherein the means for deflection comprise a Wollaston prism (col. 5, lines 21-26).

Regarding claim 3, Fercher and Bates disclose and teach the device as is disclosed above, Fercher further discloses wherein it is arranged to carry out measurements for path differences differing by $\lambda/2$ or $\lambda/4$ (col. 5, lines 38-42).

Regarding claim 4, Fercher and Bates disclose and teach the device as is disclosed above, Fercher further discloses wherein it is arranged to obtain at least two measurements, strictly simultaneous and in phase opposition (abstract).

Regarding claim 5, Fercher and Bates disclose and teach the device as is disclosed above for claim 1, Fercher further discloses wherein it is arranged to carry out measurements (see figure 2, col. 3, lines 44-48), means of generating, in one of said separated beams, an additional delay of $\lambda/4$ between the polarizations originating from the measurement arm and the reference arm of the interferometer (col. 4, lines 32-34), and means for reintroducing together the two beams thus processed into the Wollaston prism such that, on output from the latter, there are then four light beams (see figure 3). Fercher and Bates disclose the claimed invention except for carrying out four measurements. It is known in the art that the number of measurements is controlled as disclosed by Fercher, and at each position the light intensity reflectance is measured. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Fercher and Bates with carrying out four

measurements for the purpose of detecting the multiple intensities at each position in order to obtain the desired tomographic result.

Regarding claim 6, Fercher and Bates disclose and teach the device as is disclosed above for claim 1, Fercher further discloses wherein the separator means comprise a single non-polarizing separator plate (7) (see figure 3).

Regarding claim 7, Fercher and Bates disclose and teach the device as is disclosed above for claim 5, Fercher further discloses wherein the delaying means comprise a quarter-wave plate (col. 7, lines 29-34).

Regarding claim 8, Fercher and Bates disclose and teach the device as is disclosed above for claim 5, Fercher further discloses wherein the Wollaston prism is arranged in a pupil plane (col. 5, lines 66-67, col. 6, lines 1-2).

Regarding claim 9, Fercher and Bates disclose and teach the device as is disclosed above for claim 5, Fercher further discloses wherein it also comprises means for arbitrarily orienting (3) the polarizations of four incident beams relative to the Wollaston prism's (18) own axes (see figure 3).

Regarding claim 10, Fercher and Bates disclose and teach the device as is disclosed above, Fercher further discloses wherein the means for orienting comprise a half-wave plate (19) preceding the Wollaston prism (18) (see figure 3).

Regarding claim 11, Fercher discloses a method for measuring the contrast of fringes in a Michelson interferometer (abstract, col. 3, lines 53-54) including at least one reference arm and one measurement arm co-operating with an output arm to produce an optical coherence tomography system (see figure 1, abstract), the method

Application/Control Number: 10/586,897

Art Unit: 2873

comprising the steps of: deflecting two incoming perpendicular polarizations in two different emerging directions (see figures 1 and 3), by means of a Wollaston prism situated in said output arm of the Michelson interferometer (see figure 1, col. 5, lines 21-26). Fercher discloses the claimed invention except for a full-field Michelson interferometer and separating a beam entering into the output arm using a beam splitter and the prism being situated between said beam splitter and a beam detector. The use of the Michelson interferometer in a full-field manner is a mere change in the usage of the interferometer. Thus, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d - 164 7 (1987). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Fercher with a full-field Michelson interferometer for the purpose of observing the desired area. In the same field of endeavor, Bates discloses separating a beam entering into the output arm using a beam splitter (4) and the prism (6) being situated between said beam splitter (4) and a beam detector (8) (see figure 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Fercher with separating a beam entering into the output arm using a beam splitter and the prism being situated between said beam splitter and a beam detector of Bates for the purpose of measuring the coherence of optical radiation (col. 1, lines 18-20).

Page 6

Art Unit: 2873

Regarding claim 12, Fercher and Bates disclose and teach the device as is disclosed above, Fercher further discloses further including measurements for path differences differing by $\lambda/2$ or $\lambda/4$ (col. 5, lines 38-42).

Regarding claim 13, Fercher and Bates disclose and teach the device as is disclosed above, Fercher further discloses further including at least two measurements, strictly simultaneous and in phase opposition (abstract).

Regarding claim 14, Fercher and Bates disclose and teach the device as is disclosed above for claim 11, Fercher further discloses further including measurements (see figure 3, col. 3, lines 44-48), a separation into two beams entering the output arm (see figure 3, col. 1, lines 46-50), a generation, in one of the two beams produced, of an additional delay of $\lambda/4$ between the polarizations originating from the measurement arm and the reference arm of the interferometer (see figure 3, col. 4, lines 32-34), and a reintroduction of the two beams thus processed into the Wollaston prism such that, on output from the latter, there are then four light beams (see figure 3). Fercher and Bates disclose the claimed invention except for four measurements. It is known in the art that the number of measurements is controlled, as disclosed by Fercher, and at each position the light intensity reflectance is measured. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the method of Fercher and Bates with four measurements for the purpose of detecting the multiple intensities at each position in order to obtain the desired tomographic result.

Regarding claim 15, Fercher and Bates disclose and teach the device as is disclosed above, Fercher further discloses further including an arbitrary orientation (3)

of the polarizations of the four incident beams relative to the Wollaston prism's (18) own axes (see figure 3).

Regarding claim 16, Fercher and Bates disclose and teach the device as is disclosed above, Fercher further discloses wherein the measurements on the four beams are carried out simultaneously (col. 3, lines 44-48, 58-59).

Claims **17-20 and 22-24** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fercher (USP No. 5,877,856) in view of Bates (USP No. 4,076,423) as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Wei et al. (USPG Pub 2003/0218755).

Regarding claim 17, Fercher and Bates disclose and teach the device as is disclosed above for claim 11, Fercher further discloses a measurement arm (col. 3, lines 6-8). Fercher and Bates disclose the claimed invention except for a compensation for the effects of focal chromatism of the eye. In the same field of endeavor, Wei et al. discloses a compensation for the effects of focal chromatism of the eye (paragraph 0027). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the method of Fercher and Bates with a compensation for the effects of focal chromatism of the eye of Wei et al. for the purpose of correcting chromatic aberration (paragraph 0027).

Regarding claim 18, Fercher and Bates disclose and teach the device as is disclosed above for claim 11, Fercher further discloses a reference arm (col. 3, lines 38-39). Fercher and Bates disclose the claimed invention except for means for

Application/Control Number: 10/586,897

Art Unit: 2873

compensating for the dispersion of the path differences. In the same field of endeavor, Wei et al. discloses means for compensating for the dispersion of the path differences (paragraph 0027). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the method of Fercher and Bates with means for compensating for the dispersion of the path differences of Wei et al. for the purpose of correcting chromatic aberration (paragraph 0027).

Page 9

Regarding claim 19, Fercher and Bates disclose and teach the device as is disclosed above for claim 11 except for further including a control of a wave front analyzer obliging it to work in defocused mode. In the same field of endeavor, Wei et al. discloses a control of an analyzer (paragraph 0040). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the method of Fercher and Bates with a control of an analyzer of Wei et al. for the purpose of providing the desired analysis. Fercher, Bates and Wei et al. disclose the claimed invention except for a wave front analyzer working in defocused mode. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d -164 7 (1987). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the method of Fercher, Bates and Wei et al. with a wave front analyzer working in defocused mode for the purpose of providing the desired analysis and tomographic results.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,897 Page 10

Art Unit: 2873

Regarding claim 20, Fercher discloses a system for examining the eye by in vivo tomography (abstract), comprising: - a Michelson interferometer, comprising at least one measurement arm and one reference arm co-operating with an output arm in order to produce a full-field OCT setup (see figure 1, col. 3, lines 50-55), - adaptive optical means (col. 3, lines 56-64), arranged between the measurement arm of the interferometer and an eye to be examined or within said measurement arm (see figure 1), and - means of detection (11) (see figure 3), arranged downstream of the interferometer or within its output arm (see figure 3), making it possible to carry out the interferometric measurement according to the optical coherence tomography (OCT) principle (abstract), and a device for measuring the contrast of fringes in a Michelson interferometer (col. 3, lines 50-55), said device comprising means for deflecting (18) two incoming polarizations in two different emerging directions (see figures 1 and 3). Fercher discloses the claimed invention except for a full-field Michelson interferometer, carrying out the correction of the wavefronts originating from the eye as well as those reaching the eye, and means for separating a beam entering into the output arm using a beam splitter and means for deflecting being positioned between said means for separating and said means of detection in said output arm. The use of the Michelson interferometer in a full-field manner is a mere change in the usage of the interferometer. Thus, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d - 164 7 (1987). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill

Page 11

Art Unit: 2873

in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Fercher with a fullfield Michelson interferometer for the purpose of observing the desired area. In the same field of endeavor, Bates discloses means for separating a beam (4) entering into the output arm using a beam splitter (4) and means for deflecting (6) being positioned between said means for separating (4) and said means of detection (8) in said output arm (see figure 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Fercher with means for separating a beam entering into the output arm using a beam splitter and means for deflecting being positioned between said means for separating and said means of detection in said output arm of Bates for the purpose of measuring the coherence of optical radiation (col. 1, lines 18-20). In addition, in the same field of endeavor, Wei et al. discloses carrying out the correction of the wavefronts originating from the eye as well as those reaching the eye (paragraph 0027). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the system of Fercher and Bates with carrying out the correction of the wavefronts originating from the eye as well as those reaching the eye of Wei et al. for the purpose of correcting chromatic aberration (paragraph 0027).

Regarding claim 22, Fercher, Bates and Wei et al. disclose and teach the device as is disclosed above, Wei et al. further discloses wherein the reference source is inserted into the optical path between the adaptive optical means and the eye to be examined (see figure 2, paragraph 0029).

Art Unit: 2873

Regarding claim 23, Fercher, Bates and Wei et al. disclose and teach the device as is disclosed above, Wei et al. further discloses further including, in the measurement arm, means for compensating for the effects of focal chromatism of the eye (paragraph 0027).

Regarding claim 24, Fercher, Bates and Wei et al. disclose and teach the device as is disclosed above, Wei et al. further discloses further including, in the reference arm, means for compensating for the dispersion of the path differences (paragraph 0027).

Claim **21** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fercher (USP No. 5,877,856) in view of Bates (USP No. 4,076,423) and Wei et al. (USPG Pub 2003/0218755) as applied to claim 20 above, and further in view of Agonis et al. (USP No. 5,883,692).

Regarding claim 21, Fercher, Bates and Wei et al. disclose and teach the system as is set forth above for claim 20 except for further including a sighting device comprising at least one moving target having a programmable shape and trajectory, said target being displayed on an appropriate screen, visible by both eyes, during the examination period. In the same field of endeavor, Agonis et al. discloses a sighting device comprising at least one moving target having a programmable shape and trajectory, said target being displayed on an appropriate screen, visible by both eyes, during the examination period (col. 8, lines 35-45). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the system of Fercher, Bates and Wei et al. with a sighting device comprising at least

Art Unit: 2873

one moving target having a programmable shape and trajectory, said target being displayed on an appropriate screen, visible by both eyes, during the examination period of Agonis et al. for the purpose of providing the desired stimuli for the eyes in order to obtain desired analysis results.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MAHIDERE S. SAHLE whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/586,897 Page 14

Art Unit: 2873

(571)270-3329. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 7:30

AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ricky Mack can be reached on 571 272-2333. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MSS

1/16/2010

/Jessica T Stultz/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873